

DeweyBurdNonPubEm Resource

From: Martha Graham [mgraham@srifoundation.org]
Sent: Friday, January 06, 2012 5:35 PM
To: Yilma, Haimanot; Goodman, Nathan
Cc: 'John Schmuck'; 'Richard Blubaugh'; Isebastian@srifoundation.org
Subject: Summary of SRIF-Tribal Communications (November 4, 2011 to January 4, 2012)
Attachments: Summary of SRIF-Tribal Communications to 01-04-12.pdf

Good afternoon Haimanot and Nathan –

Attached is a summary of the communications I have had with tribes between November 4, 2011 and January 4, 2012 regarding the proposed Dewey-Burdock and Crow Butte projects. Please let me know if you have any questions or comments about the document.

Have a good weekend.

Martha

Martha Graham
Program Manager
SRI Foundation
333 Rio Rancho Drive, NE, Suite 103
Rio Rancho, NM 87124
505.892.5587 (office)

Hearing Identifier: Powertech_Uranium_Dewey_Burdock_LA_NonPublic
Email Number: 628

Mail Envelope Properties (BAE5E030BA714604857C071546638C61)

Subject: Summary of SRIF-Tribal Communications (November 4, 2011 to January 4, 2012)
Sent Date: 1/6/2012 5:34:53 PM
Received Date: 1/6/2012 5:35:20 PM
From: Martha Graham

Created By: mgraham@srifoundation.org

Recipients:

"John Schmuck" <John_Schmuck@Cameco.com>
Tracking Status: None
"Richard Blubaugh" <rblubaugh@powertechuranium.com>
Tracking Status: None
"lsebastian@srifoundation.org" <lsebastian@srifoundation.org>
Tracking Status: None
"Yilma, Haimanot" <Haimanot.Yilma@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None
"Goodman, Nathan" <Nathan.Goodman@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: MarthaPC

Files	Size	Date & Time
MESSAGE	495	1/6/2012 5:35:20 PM
Summary of SRIF-Tribal Communications to 01-04-12.pdf		161324

Options

Priority: Standard
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:
Recipients Received:

SRI Foundation Communications with Indian Tribes
 Regarding the Proposed Dewey-Burdock and Crow Butte Projects
 November 4, 2011 to January 4, 2012

About this table: This table summarizes communications between the SRI Foundation (SRIF) and Indian tribes for the proposed Crow Butte Projects and Dewey-Burdock between November 4, 2011 and January 4, 2012. The applicants for these proposed projects are Cameco Resources (Cameco) and Powertech (USA) Inc. (Powertech), respectively. The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) requested that the applicants gather information about places of religious and cultural significance that may be affected by their proposed projects. NRC will use the information to complete its government to government consultations with the Indian tribes. The letters of November 4, 2011, and December 9, 2011, were sent to the Tribal Chairs or Presidents, and copied to the Tribal Historic Preservation Officer (THPO), or Cultural Resources Office, when present. SRI Foundation's follow up has been with the THPO or someone in a tribe's Cultural Resource Office. In cases where a tribe has not identified someone to contact or does not have such a person, SRI Foundation has communicated directly with the Tribal Chair or President.

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Crow Creek Sioux Tribe Crow Tribe	Dewey- Burdock	On 11/4/11 Ms. Sebastian (SRIF) sent tribal chairs w/ cc to THPO/Cultural Resources Office a follow up to Mr. Hsueh's letter (10/28); Powertech to gather information for NRC; reviews the Section 106 undertaking & possible effects on historic properties; summarizes sites in Dewey-Burdock project area; asks about tribe's participation interest; and introduces Ms. Graham.
Eastern Shoshone Tribe (Shoshone Tribe of the Wind River Reservation)		
Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe		
Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Indian Reservation (Fort Peck)		
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe		
Lower Sioux Indian Community		
Arapahoe Tribe of the Wind River Reservation (Northern Arapaho Tribe)		
Northern Cheyenne Tribe		
Oglala Sioux Tribe		
Ponca Tribe of Nebraska		
Rosebud Sioux Tribe		
Santee Sioux Nation		
Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate		
Spirit Lake Tribe		
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe		

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Yankton Sioux Tribe		<p>On 11/4/11 Sebastian sent Mr. Hall w/ cc to Mr. Crows Breast a follow up to Hsueh's letter (10/28); Powertech to gather information for NRC; reviews the Section 106 undertaking & possible effects on historic properties; summarizes sites in Dewey-Burdock project area; asks about tribe's participation interest; and introduces Graham. References tribe's 9/20/11 letter re "No Adverse Affect/No Historic Properties Affected" for the Dewey-Burdock project.</p> <p>SRIF has no plans to follow up with the tribe re the Dewey-Burdock project at this time.</p>
Three Affiliated Tribes [Mandan, Hidatsa and Arikara Nation]	Dewey-Burdock	
Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians (Turtle Mountain Chippewa)	Dewey-Burdock	<p>Per earlier correspondence between NRC and TMC, SRIF has no plans to contact the tribe at this time.</p>
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/15/11, Graham called as follow up to 11/4/11 Dewey-Burdock mailing; Mr. Vance on travel, back in office on 11/17. Graham will call again.</p> <p>On 11/22/11, Graham called and spoke to Vance as follow up to 11/4/11 Dewey-Burdock mailing. Tribe definitely wants to be involved. Archaeological surveys do not necessarily identify or interpret historic properties that are significant to tribes. Need a survey by tribal members; the one place they stopped at Dewey-Burdock in June -- features on hill and going to it had been overlooked. Probably snow now -- May would be good (little ground cover, reliable weather). Bryan In The Woods, council member and on the committee the THPO answers to. Copy him on information sent to THPO; In The Woods can speak re the cultural issues and on behalf of the council, which Vance could not.</p> <p>On 11/23/11, Graham e-mailed Vance w/ cc to Mr. In The Woods. She thanked Vance for taking the time to speak with her on the previous day. She said that SRIF is working with NRC and Powertech to schedule a meeting about Dewey-Burdock, and possibly Crow Butte. She will let him know when there is more information. Graham also attached the 11/4 letter to CRST and Attachment B, so In The Woods had this information.</p>
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/15/11, Graham called as follow up to 11/4/11 Dewey-Burdock mailing and was told to call back tomorrow -- offices closed.</p> <p>On 11/16/11, Graham called and spoke with Skyler, who said Ms. Wells was on travel. Skyler had SRIF's letter in front of her, and would give it to Wells when she returned.</p> <p>On 11/22/11, Graham called and spoke to Wells who said the tribe was very interested in the Dewey-Burdock project. She had not been able to make the June meeting, and had asked to be kept informed. She had the material in front of her but had not had the opportunity to look at it. Graham asked if more convenient to talk now or after she had reviewed it. Wells said later would be better, and that she would contact Graham.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Crow Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/15/11, Graham called as follow up to 11/4/11 Dewey-Burdock mailing; left a voice-mail (v-m) for Mr. Old Horn and asked for a call-back.</p> <p>On 11/23/11, Graham explained she was following up to 11/4/11 Dewey-Burdock mailing, and had also called last week; left v-m message asking for a call-back.</p>
Eastern Shoshone Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called and left a v-m message for Mr. Ferris - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail</p> <p>On 12/2/11, Graham called and left a v-m message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail</p>
Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called and left v-m message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail from Mr. Weston</p> <p>On 11/29/11, Graham called and left v-m message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail</p> <p>On 11/29/11, Graham called and was forwarded to Weston's v-m -- did not leave a message.</p>
Fort Peck	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called as follow up to 11/4/11 Dewey-Burdock mailing and spoke to Mr. Youpee. Youpee said that the tribe would have an interest in the project. He said it needs a TCP study, and to do a site visit to get an idea of the area. Youpee is doing similar studies in other areas. Consultation/gathering should include consortium of tribes (not one-by-one). Recommended a site visit on 12/1.</p> <p>On 11/30/11, Graham called to follow up with Youpee that a 12/1 site visit would not take place. Youpee characterized the June 2011 mtg as preliminary, with no agreements made. He said that the tribes need to hammer out agreement w/ NRC. Youpee and Graham discussed what may constitute g-2-g consultation and relationship (fact finding builds up to that, when decision-makers are not in the room). Youpee thought NRC should be working on having agreements in place and understanding what involved in TCP study during these winter months -- in clear weather, the tribes need to be out in the field. Youpee asked Graham to e-mail a summary of this conversation, and he will use it in calling for a meeting w/ NRC.</p> <p>On 12/1/11, Per Youpee's request, Graham sent Youpee an e-mail summarizing their conversation and providing Yilma's contact information. Graham attached a copy of SRIF's 11/4 letter as well.</p>
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	Dewey-	On 11/16/11, Graham called to follow up on 11/4 letter; Ms. Green out of the office

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
	Burdock	On 11/29/11, Graham spoke to Green to follow up on 11/4 letter. Green said she is not in favor of that mine because there are a number of environmental and cultural resource issues associated with that area/Black Hills, which she did not have time to go into. The LBST is a small tribe w/o many resources. Green would like to stay advised of the project. If possible, she would participate in the NEPA process as well as Section 106. Green is not sure whether their office could participate in a meeting or send elders, but would, if possible; Pierre most convenient meeting venue. LBST would support other tribes closer to the area. She said that if a meeting was held in Pierre, then they would be able to participate. Graham said she would keep Green updated.
Lower Sioux Indian Community	Dewey-Burdock	On 11/16/11, Graham called and explained that she was following up on the 11/4 letter. Mr. Morse said that the tribe had no comments now; it is concentrating on projects in Minnesota and the local tribes could handle this project. He would like updates on what is going on, but would not expect to give comments.
Northern Arapaho Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 11/16/11, Graham called and left a v-m message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail from Ms. Conrad On 11/16/11, Graham called and left a v-m message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail On 12/7/11, Conrad left a message at SRIF for Graham to call her back
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 11/16/11, Graham called and left a v-m message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail from Mr. Fisher On 11/17/11, Fisher returned Graham's telephone call of the previous day. Graham explained the purpose of her call and the results of conversations with other THPOs. Fisher requested e-mail copies of the 11/4 mailing, which Graham sent. He said he would be interested in attending a site visit, or in a round table discussion. He provided information about conducting TCP surveys, confidentiality, and working with tribes and federal agencies. On 11/18/11, Fisher e-mailed Graham to say that he had reviewed her e-mail and attached letter, and the NCT is interested in participating in any tribal consultation that may occur. NCT is concerned with cultural resources in the permit area that may be adversely affected by the undertaking.
Oglala Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 11/16/11, Graham called and explained to Mr. Laysbad that she was following up on the 11/4 letter. Laysbad said that he seemed to recall it, and that he had sent a compliance letter, concurring with what the project is doing. Graham's impression was that Laysbad had a different project in mind. In response to Graham saying that she assumed he would want to be kept informed, Mr. Laysbad said ok.

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Ponca Tribe of Nebraska	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called and explained that she was following up on the 11/4 letter. She spoke to both Mr. Robinette and his assistant, Ms. Hamilton, who handles most of these issues. They agreed that the Dewey-Burdock project, near the Black Hills, would be of most concern to the Sioux tribes. The Ponca Tribe of Nebraska would like to be kept in the loop (e.g., informed of what other tribes are responding, updates, inform re any face-to-face meetings), but will let the other tribes take the lead. Ponca Tribe would not act without the Sioux nation, and probably would defer to them. Would not expect any Ponca sites of traditional religious & cultural significance here, anyway.</p>
Rosebud Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called and spoke to Ms. Arcoren, explaining that she was following up on the 11/4 letter. Mr. Eagle Bear was down at the Council. Graham requested that Mr. Eagle Bear return her call.</p> <p>On 11/23/11, Graham called for Eagle Bear. He is a council representative, and therefore only part time in this office. Graham then spoke with his administrative assistant, Arcoren. Arcoren had given Eagle Bear Graham's message of the previous week but he has not gotten back to her. Graham provided a brief update -- that a number of tribes had suggested a face-to-face meeting. Arcoren said that was a good idea. Graham said she would keep them updated. She confirmed telephone was the best form of contact, and also e-mail.</p>
Santee Sioux Nation	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham explained that she was following up on the 11/4 letter. Mr. Thomas did not remember seeing it and asked Graham to e-mail it to him. Graham e-mailed the materials to Thomas, and said that the tribal office would also have it.</p> <p>On 12/1/11, Graham called to follow up w/ Thomas. He has not had a chance to read the letter, and was not familiar with the project (initially thought it was another one in Wyoming). Graham asked Thomas to call back with comments or questions after he has had a chance to review it.</p>
Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called Ms. Desrosiers to follow up on 11/4 letter; Desrosiers was out. Graham explained the purpose of her call to Mr. Whitted, who said he usually responded to these sorts of inquiries. Whitted spoke of the need for NRC to respond to the tribes' request for a TCP survey and consultation. He said that it was important for NRC to first sit down with the tribes to discuss how to proceed, and then the tribes would need to conduct a TCP survey. He said that the tribe did not intend to halt progress, but it needed to protect cultural resources and the law required consultation. A round table discussion would need to be with a group of tribes, not one-by-one. He thought that the Sioux tribes, in particular, would be interested in this project.</p>
Spirit Lake Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 11/16/11, Graham called the main office asking for Ms. Shaw to follow up on 11/4 letter. Graham was referred to a couple of other numbers to try to reach a Mr. Smith, who works in Cultural Resources.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
		On 11/29/11, Graham called the main office asking for Shaw to follow up on 11/4 letter, and was given the phone number for the "Central Repository Project," where Graham left a v-m identifying herself and explaining the purpose of her call. Graham left contact information and requested a call back.
		On 11/16/11, Graham called and left a v-m message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail from Ms. Young
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 11/22/11, Graham called Young, who said Mr. Clothier was handling this matter, and transferred the call. Clothier initially expressed his frustration at NRC's response to June mtg and SRI Foundation's involvement. Graham explained that she had little information, although she had reviewed the June transcript; our letter and this phone call was to get more information. Clothier explained why the tribes need to conduct a survey, why they cannot just "tell you where the sites are" and why it will not work to just go previously identified archaeological sites. First step is a face-to-face meeting, at which NRC MUST be present. No problem with SRIF being there -- in fact, should. Clothier suggested SRIF give tribes several dates and see what happens. Cameco project might be addressed at the same time.
		On 11/23/11, Graham called and left a v-m message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail from Ms. Gravatt
Yankton Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 11/29/11, Graham called and was told that Gravatt is out of the office until 11/30.
		On 12/1/11, Graham called and left a v-m message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail
Apache Tribe of Oklahoma Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe Comanche Nation Crow Creek Sioux Tribe Crow Tribe Eastern Shoshone Tribe Fort Peck Kiowa Indian Tribe Lower Brule Sioux Tribe Three Affiliated Tribes [Mandan, Hidatsa and Arikara Nation] Northern Arapaho Tribe Northern Cheyenne Tribe Oglala Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte	On 12/9/11, LS sent tribal chairs w/ cc to THPO/Cultural Resources Office a follow up to Hsueh's letter (10/28); Cameco to gather information for NRC; asks about tribe's interest and possible participation; provides maps and other information about the areas and the ISR process.

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Pawnee Nation		
Ponca Tribe of Nebraska		
Rosebud Sioux Tribe		
Santee Sioux Nation		
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe		
Turtle Mountain Chippewa Tribe		
Yankton Sioux Tribe		
Apache Tribe of Oklahoma	Crow Butte	On 12/16/11, Graham called to follow up on the 12/9 SRIF mailing re Crow Butte, but only got a recorded message and could not leave a message. (NB: Letter sent to Alonzo Chalepah, but tribal chair is Louis Maynahonah)
Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes	Crow Butte	On 12/16/11, Graham spoke first with Ms. Gray, who no longer handles these matters. Gray forward Graham to Ms. Anquoe. Graham explained that she is following up on the Crow Butte mailing. Anquoe has not seen the mailing. Graham identified herself as a 3rd party consultant working for Cameco to help gather information that NRC can use in its g2g consultations with the tribe. Anquoe asked Graham to e-mail her contact information. Graham said she would also send a copy of the letter. If the packet with the CD does not come, then Graham can send another, if Anquoe lets her know. Graham e-mailed Anquoe a copy of the letter.
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 12/12/11, Graham called to update Vance on the Dewey-Burdock, and said that there was the possibility of meeting 1/10-13 or 17-20, but dates were preliminary. She also said that the tribe would soon be receiving a similar letter to our November Powertech letter about Cameco. Vance and Graham talked about g2g consult -- agreed that people "in the middle" had a role to play to prepare information for decision-makers, and such interactions were important, worthwhile, and could involve 3rd party consultants' participation and information gathering. If a gov agency is not responsive, then the tribe might insist on hi levels meeting with its tribal council. Vance had looked at the 11/4 letter -- the "response" letter did not have an appropriate box: "participate in on-the-ground identification and evaluation of TCPs." Re Crow Butte -- tribes had agreed to support Oglala; Mesteth was supposed to write up a response. Vance referred Graham to the 8/30 letter from Clothier (STST) to Hsueh re tribes' concerns
Comanche Nation – Oklahoma	Crow Butte	On 12/16/11, Graham left a v-m message identifying herself and explaining that she is following up on Sebastian's letter to Mr. Coffey (copied to Mr. Arterberry). Graham said she wanted to know if the tribe had questions or concerns, and whether the tribe wanted to participate in information gathering. Graham gave her contact number and asked Arterberry to get back to her.

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Comanche Nation – Oklahoma	Crow Butte	On 12/19/11, Arterberry left a v-m message asking Graham to call him back. Graham called back and they discussed the SRIF mailing re Crow Butte. Arterberry says that the Crow Butte project is on the edge of the Comanche territory, so the tribe might be interested, but needs to know more about the bigger picture -- needs copies of the archaeological reports, and is also interested in knowing what the SHPO has had to say, and whether the SHPO has any concerns. He noted that, from the materials SRIF sent, that there is a lot of cultivation in the area, but again said he needs more information. Arterberry said he thought this area probably used more by migratory peoples, and expected them to have more interest and concerns. Graham mentioned the possibility of a meeting re Crow Butte (back-to-back with a Dewey-Burdock meeting). Arterberry says tribe consults only within own area, unless they go to consult in Washington DC.
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/16/11, Graham provided Wells with an update on Dewey-Burdock: tribes see next step as a mtg w/ NRC. Wells agreed. Graham gave proposed dates in Jan; Wells is fine with either, or early February. Graham confirmed that Wells had received the Crow Butte mailing. Wells would be ok w/ back-to-back meetings for Dewey-Burdock and Crow Butte. Graham will keep her posted.
Crow Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/16/11, Graham left a v-m message explaining that she was calling about both the Dewey-Burdock project, for which she had called before, and the Crow Butte project, about which SRIF had recently sent a letter. Graham said that, based on information from the tribes, she is inquiring about interest in participating in a mtg that NRC would have w/ the tribes about Dewey-Burdock proposed dates are in Jan. Graham asked Old Horn to let her know about these dates, or if Feb dates might be more feasible. Graham said it was possible that there would be a separate meeting about Crow Butte following the Dewey-Burdock meeting. Graham left her contact information & requested a call back.
Eastern Shoshone Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/16/11, Graham left a v-m that she was following up on the 12/9 SRIF mailing re Crow Butte. Graham also gave an update on Dewey-Burdock project -- tribes want a meeting w/ NRC to decide next steps. Proposed mtg dates in Jan, or possibly in Feb. Graham requested a call back. On 12/20/11, Ferris returned Graham's telephone call. He has a conflict for the second Jan dates -- Jan 10-13 would work. Asked what other tribes were interested -- Graham reviewed them.
Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	On 12/19/11, Graham called and left a v-m message - following up on 11/4 letter; requested a call back or e-mail

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Fort Peck	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/20/11, Youpee called Graham and said that he could not meet on Jan 18-19, and thought meeting on Jan 10-13 would be best. Youpee did not want to delay the mtg to February. He recommended meeting in Hot Springs or Rapid City. Youpee agreed that, as long as Dewey-Burdock and Crow Butte projects were kept separate, and the relevant information was brought to both, back-to-back mtgs made sense. He provided suggestions about length and content of mtgs. He said to make sure that there were competent people from NRC there -- the tribes have spent time training them, and have a lot more experience with Section 106 than any agency. NRC needs at least one decision-maker in the group. Graham asked about SRIF being in the room for all or part of the mtg -- Youpee said tribes know how to work with 3rd party consultants & archaeologists -- just do not want the 3rd party consultants talking on behalf of NRC. This is not g2g yet -- THPOs need to take info back to Tribal Chairs & Council who will sign. Graham asked why Youpee said they would need an NRC decision maker at the mtg. Youpee explained that it is because it is *their* program -- not the program of their delegated authority. If the tables were turned, then tribal Chairs would be asking to meet with NRC. For these mtgs, tribes are in the information-gathering mode. When they feel they have enough information -- hammer out a PA.</p>
Kiowa Indian Tribe	Crow Butte	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham called to speak with Mr. Tofpi, but he is no longer Chairman. The current chair, Ronald Two Hatchet and his secretary were both out. Graham said she would call back.</p>
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham reached Green who asked her to call back in 20 minutes so Green could finish something. Graham did not manage to call Green back that day.</p>
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/19/11, Graham left a v-m message for Green, explaining it had 2 purposes - follow up on the 12/9 Crow Butte mailing, and let Green know about the meeting that SRIF is helping to set up for tribes and NRC. Listed dates. Graham requested a call back.</p>
Three Affiliated Tribes [Mandan, Hidatsa and Arikara Nation]	Crow Butte	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham left a v-m explaining that she had called to follow up on the 12/9 SRIF mailing re Crow Butte. Graham said she understood that, per other communications between the tribe and NRC, that there were no adverse effects re Dewey-Burdock project, but that tribe may want an update. Referenced possible meeting in Jan/Feb for Dewey-Burdock project, and possibility of back-to-back mtg re Crow Butte project.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Northern Arapaho Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/12/11, Conrad called and left a message for Graham to return her call. Conrad said that they had found the 11/4 letter re Dewey-Burdock, and she had not had a chance to go through it yet. The tribal chair is now Jim Shakespeare. The tribe would be interested in the project, though its priority is Wyoming. Conrad supported having a meeting with the tribes and agency(ies) present. Graham said SRIF would likely be there, too. Conrad said that was ok; she indicated that it was good for cultural resource consultants to be there w/ information; Graham clarified that we would also be liaison for TCP information gathering. Graham told her about the 12/9 letter re the Crow Butte project, and proposed meeting in January. Conrad said February would be better; it is difficult to travel in winter, and grant reports due at the end of January. Graham will keep Conrad informed re the meeting, etc. Conrad said e-mail is a good way to get in touch, as well as cell phone, and gave Graham both.</p>
Oglala Sioux Tribe	Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/12/11, Graham called and asked to speak w/ Laysbad, & was told he no longer worked there. Graham then asked for the THPO, & was told that it was Mr. Mesteth, and that Mesteth was not there. Graham left a message for him, requesting a call-back re Dewey-Burdock and Crow Butte. She then explained that she had thought the THPO was Mesteth, then had recent info it was Laysbad, but wanted to confirm. The receptionist said checked, and said she was not sure -- maybe Richard Iron Cloud. She said she would pass on Graham's message.</p>
Oglala Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham tried to reach Ms. Whiting, but neither Whiting nor the reception was available. Graham left a v-m message requesting a call back re the Crow Butte and Dewey-Burdock projects. Her msg included the update re a mtg that NRC wants to hold; Graham wanted to find out whether the tribe was interested and available at the dates we have.</p>
Pawnee Nation	Crow Butte	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham called to follow up re the 12/9/ mailing about the Crow Butte project, but Mr. Adams was on another line</p> <p>On 12/19/11, Graham called Adams and explained that she was following up on the SRIF's letter about the Crow Butte project. Adams said that he had seen it, but had not looked at it yet. He said he would look at the material and call Graham back. Subsequently, Adams called and left a message for Graham saying that the tribe is interested, and he will get back to Graham.</p>
Ponca Tribe of Nebraska	Crow Butte &	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham spoke with Robinette and explained that she was following up on</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
	Dewey-Burdock	<p>the 12/9 mailing about the Crow Butte project. Robinette asked Graham to send his assistant, Gloria, an e-mail about this matter.</p> <p>Per Robinette's request to e-mail Hamilton, Graham sent a copy of the 12/9 letter about the Crow Butte project to Hamilton. She invited comments or questions. Graham also summarized the telephone conversation that Graham, Robinette, and Hamilton had had regarding the Dewey-Burdock project on 11/16. Graham added the update that tribes have requested a mtg w/ NRC, and SRIF is working with NRC on that.</p> <p>On 12/19/11, Hamilton wrote that the Ponca Tribe of Nebraska would like to be kept in the loop for all information about the project. The tribe is not interested in an on-site visit or monitoring at this time, but wants to receive all pertinent information.</p> <p>Graham emailed her thanks for Hamilton's reply. She said that we would continue to keep the tribe updated on the project.</p>
Rosebud Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham spoke with Arcoren, who had shown Eagle Bear the information. Eagle Bear apparently said something about wanting to participate, but did not elaborate. Arcoren said that a meeting for the tribes and NRC would be good. February would be better for the tribe, since they had a number of meetings in January, and February was open now. Graham said that we were also considering having a (distinct) meeting about Crow Butte at the same time, to save the tribes' time. Arcoren seemed supportive of this idea. She suggested holding the meeting in Pierre (preferred) or Rapid City so that the eastern tribes would not have to travel so far. She and Graham agreed that, in Jan/Feb, there would be little to see during a site visit to Dewey-Burdock.</p>
Santee Sioux Nation	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham called to discuss the Crow Butte and Dewey-Burdock projects, but there was no answer or answering machine, so Graham could not leave a message.</p>
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham asked for Clothier, but he is on leave until January. She was forwarded to Young's v-m. Graham left a message that she wanted to make sure the 12/9 Crow Butte mailing had been received, and would be happy to discuss/answer questions. Graham also gave an update on the Dewey-Burdock project -- tribes had requested a meeting with NRC, so NRC has proposed meeting dates in Jan or Feb. Graham asked if Young could call or e-mail to let Graham know if the tribe was interested in participating, and what dates would work for them.</p> <p>On 12/19/11, Young e-mailed that the best dates for the tribe would be Feb 13-16, so Clothier could participate. However, they would be available for Jan 17-20 if that is other tribes' preference.</p> <p>Graham replied to Young's e-mail, and said that she would let Young know about the final meeting dates.</p>

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Yankton Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/16/11, Graham called Gravatt to follow up on Crow Butte and Dewey-Burdock mailings, & give an update on conversations with other tribal representatives. Gravatt said that she had both mailings. Gravatt said tribes need on-the-ground survey - most places have been inaccessible since the treaties were signed. The tribes object to 3rd party consultants -- do not want to divulge information to them. Recently had a situation where a consultant tried to use the information to get work. Gravatt agreed with the idea of a meeting between NRC and the tribes, and suggested Graham e-mail the dates to everyone. Gravatt said the meeting should include the scope of work, confidentiality, the need for an on-the-ground survey. Gravatt suggested Pierre or Rapid City -- no reason to go the Edgemont or Hot Springs (they do not want another site visit; they need to do survey instead). Graham clarified SRIF's role as 3rd party consultant, and said we may facilitate gathering information but we do not confuse that with g2g consultation. Gravatt thanked Graham and said the difficulty is that tribes cannot give information to SRIF instead of the government, so not sure what we would do - something to discuss in the meeting.</p>
Cheyenne and Arapaho Tribes	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/20/11, Graham sent an e-mail to the tribes providing an update on SRIF's information gathering re the Dewey-Burdock project and proposing dates in Jan or Feb for a mtg at which NRC and the tribes could discuss the approaches for obtaining needed information; confidentiality and the g2g relationship between tribes and NRC, and how it relates to Powertech and SRIF's roles in information gathering. Graham also said that we were considering a similar mtg re the Crow Butte project and Cameco. Graham requested that tribes let her know whether the tribe might be interested in attending, and which dates it preferred.</p>
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe		
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe		
Crow Tribe		
Eastern Shoshone Tribe		
Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe		
Fort Peck		
Lower Sioux Indian Community		
Northern Arapaho Tribe		
Northern Cheyenne Tribe		
Oglala Sioux Tribe		
Ponca Tribe of Nebraska		
Rosebud Sioux Tribe		
Santee Sioux Nation		
Sisseton-Wahpeton Oyate		
Spirit Lake Tribe		
Standing Rock Sioux Tribe		
Turtle Mountain Chippewa Tribe		
Yankton Sioux Tribe		

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/21/11, Graham called and left v-m message - following up on 11/4 letter. Graham also said that other tribes requested a mtg w/ NRC, and gave possible Jan and Feb dates. Graham requested call back or e-mail.
Northern Cheyenne Tribe	Crow Butte	On 12/21/11, Fisher faxed the tribe's "Native American Consultation Response Form" for the Three Crow Expansion area, which said that "tribal participation is requested in addition to a field visit."
Oglala Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/23/11, Graham called Whiting's extension, but neither Whiting nor the reception were available. Graham left a v-m message requesting a call back re the Crow Butte and Dewey-Burdock projects, including the proposed mtg in Jan or Feb.
Oglala Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	<p>On 12/27/11, Graham called the Natural/Cultural Resources and was informed that neither Whiting nor Laysbad currently work there. When Graham asked to speak to the THPO, she was transferred to Mr. Iron Cloud. Iron Cloud said he started 2 weeks ago. He had seen LS's 12/9 letter about Crow Butte, but has not gone through everything yet. Graham explained the purpose of her call was to follow up and to let him know about proposed meeting dates. He would be available 1/17-20 or 2/10-13. Iron Cloud and Graham discussed whether it might be appropriate for THPOs to meet w/ NRC as the next step for the Crow Butte and Dewey-Burdock projects, and report to tribal leaders. Alternatively, should the next step be a meeting btwn NRC and the leaders? Iron Cloud said this was a good question, and that he would need to make some calls. Graham and Iron Cloud exchanged e-mail contact information, and Iron Cloud will get back to Graham.</p> <p>Graham e-mailed Iron Cloud a copy of the 12/20 e-mail to THPOs regarding the proposed meeting.</p> <p>Graham e-mailed a copy of the 12/20 e-mail to THPOs regarding the proposed mtg. In a series of e-mails between Iron Cloud, Mr. Catches Enemy, and Graham, they considered the question of whether the mtg should be between NRC and THPOs or should tribal leaders be brought in; and how the June 2011 mtg fit re information gathering and g2g consultation. Iron Cloud suggested a conference call the following week when Catches Enemy was back in the office.</p>
Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/29/2011, Graham left a v-m message for Vance re proposed mtg and possible dates; requested a call-back.
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/29/2011, Graham spoke to someone in Wells's office re proposed mtg and possible dates. Graham was told that CCST had not received Graham's e-mail and to re-send it.

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
Crow Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/29/2011, Graham left a v-m message for Old Horn re proposed mtg and possible dates; requested a call-back.
Flandreau Santee Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/29/2011, Graham left a v-m message for Weston re proposed mtg and possible dates; requested a call-back.
Lower Brule Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/29/2011, Graham left a v-m message for Green re proposed mtg and possible dates, and said it would be in Rapid City; requested a call-back.
Northern Arapaho	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/29/2011, Graham left a v-m message for Conrad re proposed mtg and possible dates; requested a call-back. Graham also re-sent the 12/20 e-mail.
Northern Cheyenne	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 12/29/2011, Graham left a v-m message for Fisher re proposed mtg and possible dates; requested a call-back.
Pawnee Nation	Crow Butte	On 12/30/11, Adams called Graham back to say that, after some investigation, he thought it unlikely that the Pawnee would have sites in this area. He was therefore declining the invitation to participate in the information gathering. He will send a letter stating this, also.
Crow Creek Sioux Tribe	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 1/3/12, Wells e-mailed to say that holding the meeting Jan 10-13 in Rapid City would work best for her. Graham e-mail back to say that she would get back to everyone as soon as the final dates were set.
Fort Peck	Crow Butte & Dewey-Burdock	On 1/3/12, Youpee called to find out whether a date had been set for the Dewey-Burdock and Crow Butte meeting. He expressed dissatisfaction at the time the process has taken, and said that tribes needed to schedule this and other meetings. Graham agreed and noted that working around peoples' holiday schedules had complicated things. She expected the dates would be set by the end of the day.

Name of Tribe	Re	Summary of Communication
		<p>On 1/4/12, Graham called and told Youpee that the meeting dates were set at 2/14-15, with travel on 2/13 and 16. Youpee understood from his conversation with NRC (Yilma) the previous day that the meeting would be to share information and set up guidelines on gathering information. He recommended an agenda: Morning – Prayer, Intro, Project Presentation, Tribal Caucus (minimum 2 hours); Afternoon – Discuss plans/strategies to move forward re TCP Study, Confidentiality clause, PA. Youpee saw this as the first of a series of meetings; the purpose of other meetings was “fine tuning” and details would need to be determined later. Youpee stressed that the tribes needed time to come to consensus, as well as possibility bring new tribal reps up to speed. He emphasized the need for transparency by NRC, and that it was important for a decision-maker to be present. Graham and Youpee spent some time on the internet trying to find the NRC organizational chart; and Youpee said NRC should bring one to the mtg. Youpee and Graham talked about reimbursement (Youpee pointed out tribes only get reimbursement and not compensation) – they agreed that Graham needed to get additional direction about this matter from the applicants.</p>
