UIC Permit Application Powertech (USA) Inc. March 2010

2.D MAPS AND CROSS SECTIONS OF USDWs

Submit maps and cross sections indicating the vertical limits of all underground sources of drinking water within the area of review (both vertical and lateral limits for Class I), their position relative to the injection formation and the direction of water movement, where known, in every underground source of drinking water which may be affected by the proposed injection activities.

RESPONSE

The major bedrock aquifers in the Black Hills area include the Deadwood, Madison, Minnelusa, Minnekahta, and Inyan Kara (Carter et al, 2003). These aquifers are regionally extensive in areas surrounding the Black Hills as shown on Figure D-1 (Driscoll et al., 2002). A regional east-west geologic cross section across the Black Hills Uplift is shown on Figure D-2. The location of the cross section A-A' is indicated on Figure D-1. Ground-water flow in the regional aquifer system in the Paleozoic aquifer units (i.e., Deadwood, Madison, Minnelusa, and Minnekahta Formations) is generally interpreted to be radially outward from the outcrops surrounding the Black Hills (Figure D-3). Groundwater recharge from the Black Hills area comingles with groundwater in the Powder River Basin to the west and then migrates northeastward into the Williston Basin where it eventually discharges at lower elevations to the land surface in eastern North Dakota and along the outcrop of the Canadian Shield in Canada.

Only two of these major aquifers, the Madison and Inyan Kara, are considered to be USDWs within the AORs of the Dewey-Burdock Disposal Wells. As discussed below, the Deadwood, Minnelusa, and Minnekahta do not supply water wells in the Dewey-Burdock area and are not considered to be USDWs locally. Further, due to local total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations in excess of 10,000 mg/l, (shown Table D-1 from the USGS Produced Waters Database [http://energy.cr.usgs.gov/prov/prodwat/data2.htm]), the Minnelusa is not a USDW.

Minor aquifers in the area include the Sundance formation (Driscoll et al., 2002). While some authors differentiate geologically between the Sundance and overlying Unkpapa Formation, they are thought to be hydrogeologically connected and are referred to as the Unkpapa/Sundance in this document. Further, the Unkpapa/Sundance is considered to be the lower-most USDW above the Madison below the Dewey-Burdock Project area.

Deadwood Formation

The Cambrian-age Deadwood Formation consists of massive to thinly-bedded, brown to light-gray sandstone; greenish glauconitic shale; dolomite; and flat-pebble limestone conglomerate. Sandstone with conglomerate occurs locally at the base of the formation. The Deadwood ranges in thickness from 0 to 500 feet (Carter et al., 2003) in the area. Generally, groundwater flow in the Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system is from the high-altitude recharge areas on the top of the Black Hills radially outward (Figure D-4). Regionally the Deadwood is confined by the Precambrian basement (Williamson and Carter, 2001). It overlies the Precambrian basement and granite wash (where present) and outcrops approximately 20 miles to the northeast of the Dewey-Burdock Project (Figure D-1). As stated previously, the Deadwood is not considered to be a local USDW. Based on available data, there are no known water wells supplied by the Deadwood Formation in the Dewey-Burdock Project area. There are no water quality data available in the area, but it is suspected that water quality declines with depth and distance down-gradient from the recharge at the outcrop. As a result, it is likely that the Deadwood contains dissolved solids in excess of 10,000 mg/l below Sites 1 and 2 and will not meet the USEPA criteria for a USDW. An isopach map of the Deadwood is included as Figure D-5.

UIC Permit Application Powertech (USA) Inc. March 2010

Madison Formation

The Mississippian Madison aquifer is contained within the limestones, siltstones, sandstones, and dolomite of the Madison Limestone or Group. Generally, water in the Madison is confined except in outcrop areas and can frequently demonstrate artesian conditions. Groundwater flow in this aquifer system generally is from the recharge areas radially outward from the Black Hills (Figure D-6). Water in the Madison is typically fresh only near the recharge areas, becoming slightly saline to saline as it moves down-gradient (Figure D-7). In the deeper parts of the Williston Basin, the water is a brine with dissolved solids concentrations greater than 300,000 mg/L (Driscoll et al., 2002). Local water quality for the Madison is summarized by analysis of the Edgemont city wells and is presented in Table D-1. Structure contour and isopach maps of the Madison are included as Figures D-8 and D-9, respectively. A potentiometric surface map of the Madison Formation is presented as Figure D-10.

Minnelusa Formation

The Pennsylvanian- and Permian-age Minnelusa Formation consists of yellow to red, crossstratified sandstone, limestone, dolomite, and shale. The Minnelusa Aquifer occurs primarily in sandstone and anhydrite beds in the upper part of the formation (Williamson and Carter, 2001). Water in this aquifer moves from recharge areas radially outward from the Black Hills and to the northeast to discharge areas in eastern South Dakota (Figure D-6). It is confined above by the Opeche Shale and below by layers of lower permeability in the Minnelusa Formation.

The Minnelusa is referred to as an aquifer but is an oil and gas producer in the Dewey-Burdock area. Table D-2 and Figure D-11 present local water quality data from the USGS Produced Waters Database for the Minnelusa Formation that shows TDS concentrations in excess of 10,000 mg/l in the Dewey-Burdock area. In addition, this formation does not supply water to any local water wells. As such, it is not considered to be a USDW in the Dewey-Burdock area. Structure contour and isopach maps of the Minnelusa are included as Figures D-12 and D-13, respectively. A potentiometric surface map of the Minnelusa Formation is presented as Figure D-14.

It has been postulated that in the vicinity of the Black Hills, there may be communication between the Madison and Minnelusa Formations and even communication from the Minnelusa to the surface via breccia pipes. However, this communication is thought to occur near the outcrop in areas where these formations are near surface. These areas are located well to the north and east of the Project area and up-gradient in the system. Evidence of regional isolation is the contrast between water quality in the Madison and Minnelusa. There is no evidence to suggest that there is communication between these formations locally.

Minnekahta Formation

The Permian-age Minnekahta Limestone is a thin to medium-bedded, fine-grained, purple to gray laminated limestone, which ranges in thickness from 25 to 65 feet (Driscoll et al., 2002). The Minnekahta is considered a major aquifer in parts of the Black Hills area but does not supply any known water wells locally.

Unkpapa/Sundance Formation

The Sundance Formation consists of greenish-gray shale with thin limestone lenses; glauconitic sandstone, with red sandstone near the middle of the formation. The Sundance ranges from 250 to 450 feet thick (Carter et al., 2003). The Unkpapa Sandstone is a massive fine-grained sandstone, 0 to 225 feet thick (Carter et al., 2003). A potentiometric surface map of the Unkpapa is presented as

figure D-14a. The Unkpapa/Sundance is considered a minor aquifer in the area. Local water quality data from wells located within the Dewey-Burdock Project are presented in Table D-3.

Inyan Kara Group

Several sandstone units compose the lower Cretaceous aquifer, which is known as the Inyan Kara aquifer in South Dakota. These units are the Lakota and Fall River Formations and the Lakota is divided into the Chilson, Minnewaste, and Fuson Members. Some authors include the Minnewaste Limestone Member regionally, but it is not present below the project area. Generally, water in the Inyan Kara is confined by several thick shale layers of the Graneros Group (including the Skull Creek Shale), except in outcrop areas around structural uplifts, such as the Black Hills Uplift. Regionally, groundwater in the Inyan Kara moves from high-altitude recharge areas to discharge areas in eastern North Dakota and South Dakota. Although the aquifer is wide-spread, it contains little fresh water except in small areas in central and south-central Montana and north and east of the Black Hills uplift. Water in the Inyan Kara is saline in the deeper parts of the Williston and Powder River Basins (Driscoll et al., 2002). Table D-4 presents local water quality data from wells located within the Dewey-Burdock Project. A structure contour map of the Inyan Kara is included as Figure D-15. Isopach maps of each of the units that compose the Inyan Kara are included as Figure D-16, D-17, and D-18. A potentiometric surface map of the Fall River Aquifer is presented as Figure D-19.

Figure D-20 is a cross-section location map that shows A - A' (Figure D-21) and B - B' (Figure D-22) which show the vertical extent of the USDWs across the project area. The lowermost formations (Madison, Englewood, and Deadwood) are not shown due to the lack of deep well logs.

·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				1				1				T	
Summary of Ma	dison well da	ta, Edgemont cit	y water											
		Well ID	BNR/TVA	well 2	well 4	well 5	TVA	well 2	well 4	well 5	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Std. Dev
		Sample Date	11/6/2002	11/6/2002	11/6/2002	11/6/2002	5/23/2000	5/23/2000	5/23/2000	5/23/2000				
Component		units												
Physical properties									•					
Conductivity	Cond.	umhos/cm	1154	1671	1785	2140	1300	1700	1800	2300	1731.3	1154.0	2300.0	382.1
Hardness			406	503	528	580	410	460	500	560	493.4	406.0	580.0	64.3
pH	pH		7.81	7.7	7.73	7.66	7.15	7.23	7.26	7.37	7.5	7.2	7.8	0.3
TDS	TDS	mg/L	726	1047	1101	1333	690	980	940	1000	977.1	690.0	1333.0	205.0
TSS	TSS	mg/L												
Turbidity	Turbidity	NTU												
Acidity	Acidity										-			
Alkalinity	CaCO3		188	181	182	180	170	160	160	170	173.9	160.0	188.0	10.5
Carbonate	CO3	mg/L												
Bicarbonate	HCO3	mg/L	229	221	222	220	210	200	200	210	214.0	200.0	229.0	10.7
Chloride	CI	mg/L	185	255	300	385	150	250	270	360	269.4	150.0	385.0	79.7
Cyanide	CN	mg/L												
Flouride	F	mg/L	0.843	1.1	1.07	1.32	0.9	1.05	1.03	1.2	1.1	0.8	1.3	0.2
Nitrogen, Ammonia	NH3	mg/L												
Nitrogen, Nitrate	NO3	mg/L	0.211	0.086	0.063	<.05	0.15	0.16	0.16	<.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1
Nitrogen, Nitrite	NO2	mg/L					<.01	<.01	<.01	<.01		0.0	0.0	
Sulfate	SO4	mg/L	211	295	309	353	210	300	340	390	301.0	210.0	390.0	64.0
Metals														
Aluminum	Al	mg/L												
Arsenic	As	mg/L	0.006	0.01	0.01	0.008					0.0085	0.0	0.0	0.0019
Calcium	Са	mg/L	115	150	156	175	100	120	130	140	135.8	100.0	175.0	24.4
Iron	Fe	mg/L	0.05	0.091	<.05	2.53	<0.05	0.09	<.05	2.6	1.1	0.1	2.6	1.4
Magnesium	Mg	mg/L	28.8	31.1	33.7	34.8	30	32	35	36	32.7	28.8	36.0	2.6
Manganese	Mn	mg/L	0.05	0.05	<.05	<.05	<.03	<.03	<.03	0.05	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.00
Mercury	Hg	mg/L												
Lead	Pb	mg/L												
Molybdenum	Мо	_mg/L											ļ	
Potassium	К	mg/L	10.6	17.3	17.9	23	12	19	20	24	18.0	10.6	24.0	4.7
Selenium	Se	mg/L							ļ					
Sodium	Na	mg/L	86.9	161	174	228	88	150	170	200	157.2	86.9	228.0	49.4

Source: Summary of Madison well data, Edgemont city water http://www.sdgs.usd.edu/other/db.html

,



TABLE D-2 Local Water Quality Data - Minnelusa Formation

			Locat	ion					Test Interval		
API Number	Section	Township	Range	Latitude	Longitude	County	Formation Sampled	Sample Method	Top (feet)	Bottom (feet)	TDS (mg/L)
4003305005	34	6S	2E	43.48664	-103.86925	Custer	Minnelusa	DST	1,338	1,375	18,814
4003305010	34	6S	2E	43.48814	-103.86781	Custer	Minnelusa	Production	1,368	1,388	13,512
4003305010	34	6S	2E	43.48814	-103.86781	Custer	Minnelusa	Wellhead	1,356		7,740
4003305015	34	6S	2E	43.49021	-103.86926	Custer	Minnelusa	Separator	713		7,429
4003305035	30	5S	2E	43.58112	-103.93146	Custer	Minnelusa	Bailer	845	851	4,288
4004705067	15	95	2E	43.26232	-103.87392	Fall River	Minnelusa	DST	2,692	2,707	24,823
4004705067	15	95	2E	43.26232	-103.87392	Fall River	Minnelusa	DST	2,692	2,707	24,422
4004705067	15	9S	2E	43.26232	-103.87392	Fall River	Minnelusa	WLT	2,230	2,234	9,803
4004705089	21	75	1E	43.42595	-103.99711	Fall River	Minnelusa	DST	2,390	2,400	21,391
4004705089	21	7S	1E	43.42595	-103.99711	Fall River	Minnelusa	DST	2,390	2,400	17,279
4004705089	21	7S	1E	43.42595	-103.99711	Fall River	Minnelusa	DST	2,390	2,400	16,652
4004705092	21	7S	2E	43.42964	-103.88318	Fall River	Minnelusa	Unknown	1,415	1,418	10,183
40000185	34	6S	2E	43.48480	-103.86630	Custer	Minnelusa	Separator	713		7,427
40000183	34	6S	2E	43.48480	-103.86630	Custer	Minnelusa	Separator	680		6,968

Notes:

-- - Data not provided.

Shading indicates duplicate samples. Source: USGS Produced waters Database; http://energy.cr.usgs.gov/prov/prodwat/data.htm

TABLE D-3 Local Water Quality Data - Unkpapa/Sundance Formation

Well #635								
Analyte	9/26/07 18:08	11/27/07 8:25	2/10/08 14:55	4/29/08 19:00				
A/C Balance (± 5) (%)	-1.14	-0.831	-0.25	3.52				
Alkalinity-Total as CaCO3 (mg/L)	124	118	120	118				
Aluminum-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1				
Ammonia (ma/L)	0.1	0.4	0.5	0.5				
Anions (meg/L)	30.4	31.6	33.7	32.8				
Antimony-Total (mg/L)		0.10	<0.003	<0.003				
Arsenic-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.000	<0.000				
Arsenic-Total (mg/L)	0.001		<0.001	0.001				
Barium-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1				
Barium-Total (mg/L)			<0.1	<0.1				
Beryllium-Total (mg/L)			<0.001	<0.001				
Bicarbonate as HCO3 (mg/L)	151	144	146	144				
Boron-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.4				
Boron-Total (mg/L)	0.1	0.1	0.5	0.4				
Cadmium-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.005	<0.005	<0.0	<0.005				
Cadmium-Total (mg/L)	10.000	-0.000	<0.005	<0.000				
Calcium-Dissolved (mg/L)	110	120	132	136				
Carbonate as CO3 (mg/L)	<5	<5	<5	<5				
Cations (meg/L)	20.8	31 1	33.5	35.2				
Chlorida (ma/L)	29.0	21.1	20.0	30.2				
Chromium-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05				
Chromium Total (mg/L)	NO.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05				
Conductivity @ 25 C (umbos/cm)	2000	2020	<0.05	0.05				
Conductivity @ 25 C (uninos/cm)	2090	2030	2950	2810				
Copper-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01				
Copper-Total (mg/L)	0.0	0.0	<0.01	<0.01				
Fluoride (mg/L)	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4				
Gross Alpha-Dissolved (pCI/L)	2.5	4.4	14.8	13.2				
Gross Beta-Dissolved (pCi/L)	4.3	6.3	10	-8				
Gross Gamma-Dissolved (pCI/L)	960	1000	91	10.00				
Iron-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03	<0.03				
Iron-Iotal (mg/L)			1.11	1.08				
Lead 210-Dissolved (pCi/L)	<1	1./	<1					
Lead 210-Suspended (pCi/L)	<1	5.1	<1	-9.6				
Lead 210-Total (pCi/L)	<1							
Lead-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.001	. 0.003	<0.001	<0.001				
Lead-Total (mg/L)			<0.001	<0:001				
Magnesium-Dissolved (mg/L)	44.3	49	52.3	54.1				
Manganese-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.06				
Manganese-Total (mg/L)			0.06	0.05				
Mercury-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001				
Mercury-Total (mg/L)	<0.0002	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001				
Molybdenum-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1				
Molybdenum-Total (mg/L)			0.01	<0.1				
Nickel-Dissolved (mg/L)	• <0.05	<0.05	<0.05	<0.05				
Nickel-Total (mg/L)			<0.05	<0.05				
Nitrogen, Nitrate as N (mg/L)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	< 0.05				
Nitrogen, Nitrite as N (mg/L)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.05				
Oxidation-Reduction Potential (mV)		270	129.4	180				
pH	7.72	7.64	7.91	8.2 -				
Polonium 210-Dissolved (pCi/L)	<1	1.9	<1	1.1				
Polonium 210-Suspended (pCi/L)	<1	<1	<1					
Polonium 210-Total (pCi/L)	<1	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Potassium-Dissolved (mo/L)	7.8	83	82	73				
Radium 226-Dissolved (nCi/L)	1.6	0.8	1 3					
Radium 226-Suspended (nCi/L)	0.8	<0.2	0.6	03				
Radium 226-Total (nCi/L)	24	-V.Z	0.0	0.0				
	2.4							

EPA Class V UIC Application March 2010

Well #635								
Analyte	9/26/07 18:08	11/27/07 8:25	2/10/08 14:55	4/29/08 19:00				
Radon 222-Total (pCi/L)		902	806	1070				
Selenium-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001				
Selenium-IV-Dissolved (mg/L)		0.001	<0.001	<0.001				
Selenium-Total (mg/L)			<0.001	0.001				
Selenium-VI-Dissolved (mg/L)		<0.001	<0.001	<0.001 、				
Silica-Dissolved (mg/L)	8.6	9	10	4.9				
Silver-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005				
Silver-Total (mg/L)			<0.005	< 0.005				
Sodium Adsorption Ratio (SAR) (meq/L)		9.3	9.6	10				
Sodium-Dissolved (mg/L)	470	480	515	545				
Solids-Total Dissolved Calculated (mg/L)	2040	2120	2270	2280				
Solids-Total Dissolved TDS @ 180 C (mg/L)	2200	2300	2300	2200				
Strontium-Total (mg.L)			4.2	4.6				
Sulfate (mg/L)	1500	1370	1470	1430 .				
TDS Balance (0.80 - 1.20) (dec.%)	1.09	1.08	1.03	0.98				
Thallium-Total (mg/L)			<0.001	<0.001				
Thorium 230-Dissolved (pCi/L)	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	0.2				
Thorium 230-Suspended (pCi/L)	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	0.1				
Thorium 230-Total (pCi/L)	<0.2							
Thorium 232-Dissolved (pCi/L)	< 0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005				
Uranium-Dissolved (mg/L)	0.002	0.002	0.0021	0.0017				
Uranium-Suspended (mg/L)	< 0.0003	<0,0003	< 0.0003	< 0.0003				
Uranium-Total (mg/L)	0.002		0.0021	0.0017				
Vanadium-Dissolved (mg/L)	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1				
Zinc-Dissolved (mg/L)	< 0.01	0.02	<0.01	<0.01				
Zinc-Total (mg/L)			<0.01	<0.01				

TABLE D-3 Local Water Quality Data - Unkpapa/Sundance Formation

Source: Powertech 2008 Class III UIC Permit Application, Appendix F

EPA Class V UIC Application March 2010

Page 2 of 2

.

		Ме	an		Mini	mum		Max	imum	
L ^B	Well	Powertech	TVA	RPD	Powertech	TVA	RPD	Powertech	TVA	RPD
Ĕ	2	181	219	19%	88	200	78%	214	242	12%
5	7	171	181	6%	170	171	1%	176	191	8%
6	8	166	178	7%	156	166	6%	178	194	9%
ပီ	13	159	173	8%	142	160	12%	170	196	14%
as	16	153	152	1%	148	144	3%	160	157	2%
ŝ	18	179	196	9%	172	180	5%	184	238	26%
i.	42	178	188	5%	174	179	3%	180	204	13%
kal	4002	140	158	12%	138	144	4%	144	202	34%
₹	7002	261	261	0%	250	210	17%	280	300	7%
	2	2285	1547	39%	1500	1450	3%	4400	1750	86%
E I	7	1542	1338	14%	1440	1325	8%	1650	1350	20%
N/S	8	1450	1385	5%	1420	1285	10%	1560	1450	7%
13	13	1292	1274	1%	1140	1100	4%	1420	1400	1%
Ξ	16	1063	1162	9%	925	1150	22%	1260	1175	7%
Ť	18	1412	1379	2%	1330	1300	2%	1470	1420	3%
Ę	42	1408	1353	4%	1310	1200	9%	1510	1400	8%
١.	4002	1220	1161	5%	1130	1100	3%	1340	1195	11%
O	7002	2328	2339	0%	2200	1925	13%	2480	2500	1%
	2	7.91	7.7	3%	7.85	7.16	9%	7.94	8.2	3%
	7	8.11	8.5	5%	8.05	8.3	3%	8.17	8.7	6%
	8	7.95	7.87	1%	7.93	7.59	4%	7.97	8.5	6%
	13	7.9	7.76	_2%	7.75	7.48	4%	8.05	8.1	1%
	16	7.46	7.34	2%	7.38	7.31	1%	7.57	7.39	2%
	18	8.08	7.94	2%	8.02	7.69	4%	8.11	8.4	4%
	42	8.02	7.94	1%	7.95	7.67	4%	8.08	8.4	4%
Т	4002	7.83	7.75	1%	7.65	7.51	2%	8.02	8.5	6%
d	7002	7.36	7.44	1%	7.22	7.14	1%	7.56	8	6%
lds	2	1750	1043	51%	1100	1004	9%	3600	1113	106%
ilo.	7	999	1081	8%	896	1058	17%	1050	1104	5%
^v	8	1000	965	4%	940	860	9%	1100	1130	3%
Υ.	13	878	886	1%	850	792	7%	890	1006	12%
No.	16	814	846	4%	760	796	5%	940	894	5%
)is	18	958	909	5%	940	520	58%	990	1118	12%
	42	950	939	1%	930	888	5%	980	1033	5%
ota	4002	818	773	6%	790	740	7%	850	805	5%
ΓĔ	7002	1875	1843	2%	1800	1690	6%	1900	1970	4%
RP	D (Relative	Percent Differ	ence) =	The a	bsolute differ	ence di	vided b	y the average	•	

Source: Table 2.7-45: Comparison of Statistics for Selected Constituents between Historic TVA Data and current Powertech Data (2009 Powertech NRC Application)

-



	EYD	
Hydrogeologic	Stratigraph	
Units	Units	Map Units
Unconsolidated units		Alluvium and colluvium, undifferentiaed
White River aquifer	{ Tw	White River Group
Tertiary intrusive units		Undifferentiated intrusive igneous rocks
Cretaceous- sequence confining unit	{ Kps	Pierre Shale to Skull Creek Shale, undifferentiated
Inyan Kara aquifer	{ Kik	Inyan Kara Group
Jurassic-sequence semiconfining unit	{ Ju	Morrison Formation to Gypsum Spring Formation, undifferentiated
Spearfish confining unit		Spearfish Formation
Minnekahta aquifer	{ Pmk	Minnekahta Limestone
Opeche confining unit	{ <u>Po</u>	Opeche Shale
Minnelusa aquifer	{ PIPm	Minnelusa Formation
Madison aquifer		Madison (Pahasapa) Limestone and Englewood Formation
Ordovician-sequence semiconfining unit	e { Ou	Whitewood Formation and Winnipeg Formation
Deadwood aquifer		Deadwood Formation
Precambrian igneous and metamorphic units	°{ p€u	Undifferentiated metamorphic and igneous rocks
AA'	LINE OF	GEOLOGIC SECTION
	FAULT[Bar ar	Dashed where approximated. Id ball on downthrown side.
	ANTICLIN plane Dashe	NEShowing trace of axial and direction of plunge. ed where approximated.
+>	SYNCLIN and di where	IEShowing trace of axial plane rection of plunge. Dashed approximated.
	MONOCL plane.	INEShowing trace of axial Dashed where approximated.
+	DOMES portior asymr	Symbol size approximately pro- nal to size of dome. Dome netry indicated by arrow length.

A'



Base modified from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000 Rapid City, Office of City Engineer map, 1:18,000, 1996 Universal Transverse Mercator projection, zone 13



Legend



Dewey-Burdock Permit Boundary

From: Water-Resources Investigations Report 01-4194 by Joyce E. Williamson and Janet M. Carter, 2001







Grand Forks Fargo	
Falls •	A N N
I DISSOLVED TER THAN	
QUIFERDashed	Powertech (USA) Inc.
LOW	Figure D-3 General Direction of Groundwater Flow in Regional Aquifer System within Paleozoic Aquifer Units 2010 Dewey-Burdock Class V Permit
4	Scale: See Bar Scale Date: March 2010 2010_DB_Class_V_Fig_D-03.ai By: JLM Checked: HD
	Petrotek 1028 West Chatfeld Ave., Skile 201 Littletin. Goorado 801274230 USA 303 229-3414 www.patrolek.com



Direction of ground-water movement

From: Ground Water Atlas of the United States, Segment 8 MT, SD. ND & WY, Hydrologic Investigations Atlas 730-I USGS (by Whitehead, 1996)







Direction of ground-water movement



From Ground Water Atlas of the United States, Segment 8 MT, SD. ND & WY, Hydrologic Investigations Atlas 730-I USGS (by Whitehead, 1996)

Powertech (USA) Inc.								
Figure Regional Groundwater Flow Aquifer System, Powder Ri 2010 Dewey-Burde	Figure D-6 Regional Groundwater Flow Pattern in Upper Paleozoic Aquifer System, Powder River and Williston Basins 2010. Devey-Burdick Class V Permit							
Scale: See Bar Scale	Date: Marc	ch 2010						
2010_DB_Class_V_Fig_D-06.ai	By: JLM	Checked: HD						
Petrotek	10286 West Littleton, Co 303-290-941 www.petrote	Chatfield Ave., Suite 201 Iorado 80127-4239 USA I4 ik.com						





From: Ground Water Atlas of the United States, Segment 8 MT, SD. ND & WY, Hydrologic Investigations Atlas 730-I USGS (by Whitehead, 1996)





and the second second



From: USGS Professional Paper #1402 F



EXPLANATION

MISSISSIPPIAN OR OLDER ROCKS-Exposed at land surface

MADISON LIMESTONE-Interval 200 feet

PROJECT-AREA BOUNDARY





EXPLANATION

OUTCROP OF MADISON LIME-

STONE (from Strobel and

----- FAULT--Dashed where approximated. Bar and ball on downthrown side

is sea level

- -+---> ANTICLINE--Showing trace of axial plane and direction of plunge. Dashed where approximated
- -i---> SYNCLINE--Showing trace of axial plane and direction of plunge. Dashed where approximated
- -+--- MONOCLINE--Showing trace of axial plane. Dashed where approximated
- DOME--Symbol size approximately proportional to size of dome.
 Dome asymmetry indicated by arrow length
- ∽ ARTESIAN SPRING

10 20 MILES

Base modified from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000, 1977, 1979, 1981, 1983, 1985 Rapid City, Office of City Engineer map, 1:18,000, 1996 Universal Transverse Mercator projection, zone 13

Legend



Dewey-Burdock Permit Boundary

From: Water-Resources Investigations Report 02-4094 (modified by Driscoll et al., 2002)







						\mathbf{X}
	925,000	950,000	975,000	1,000,000	1,025,000	1,050,000
Leg	lend					
	DeweyBurdockPermitBo	undary				
SD_C	DB_MinnelusaFm_TDS					
TDS						
٠	0 - 3,000					
٠	3,001 - 10,000					
۲	10,001 - 20,000					
	20,001 - 400,000			N		
WY_I	DB_MinnelusaFm_TDS			4		Contraction of the second seco
TDS						Powertech (USA) Inc.
•	0 - 3,000			IN I	De	Figure D-11
•	3,001 - 10,000				TDS Con	centrations, Minnelusa Formation
	10,001 - 20,000				2 Scale: 1:200.0	010 Dewey Burdock Class V Permit
	20,001 - 400,000			0 1 2 2 1	VIIIES 2010 DB_Class	_V_Fig_D-11.mxd By: JLM Checked: HD
		Projection: South Dakota State P	ane South, NAD83 (feet)	0 1 2 3 4	Pet	10288 West Chattleid Ave., Suite 201 Littleton, Colorado 80127-4239 USA 303-209-941 www.petrotek.com







Base modified from U.S. Geological Survey digital data, 1:100,000, 1977, 1979, 1981, 1983, 1985 Rapid City, Office of City Engineer map, 1:18,000, 1996 Universal Transverse Mercator projection, zone 13

Legend



Dewey-Burdock Permit Boundary

From: Water-Resources Investigations Report 02-4094 (modified by Driscoll et al., 2002)







NAD 1983 South Dakota South (ft)

Map Created By: C. Hocking, RESPEC, November 2008



10288 West Chatfield Ave., Suite 201 Littleton, Colorado 80127-4239 USA 303-290-9414











Note: Potentiometric surface based on average water level values at the project site. Contours are dashed where approximate.

Source:

Figure 2.7-14 RESPEC Data

2009 NRC Application Powertech (USA) Inc.
 Powertech (USA) Inc.

 Figure D-19

 Potentiometric Surface Inyan Kara Group (aka Fall River Aquifer)

 2010 Dewey-Burdock Class V Permit

 Scale: See Bar Scale
 Date: March 2010

 2010_DB_Class_V_Fig_D-19.ai
 By: JLM
 Checked: HD

 Checked: HD

 Internet Control 60127-4239 USA Www.petrotek.com

						and the second se
Å				30	SD	-
T42N	Tion					
R62W	T42N	T42N	TSC			
n ozw	R61W	R 60W	155	T5S	T5S	
ŴŸ	WY	WY	R1E	R2E	R3E	
	- 12 Anna 12 An		SD	SD	SD	
		4904505150				
T41N	T41N	The second secon				
R 62 W	D 6 1 W	141N	T6S	T6S	Tec	
WY	WY	R60W	DW No. 3 RIE	RZE B'	105	
		WT	DVN0.4	SD 4003320021	R 3E	
			DW.No.1			
			DW No. 2	4004705095		
T40N	T40N	T40N	4004720074			
R 62W	8902720391 R 61W	R 60W	de la companya de la	T75	T75	
WY	B	WY	R1E 4004705090	R2E	R 3E	
			SD	SD SD	SD	
T39N	TRON					
R62W	R 61W	T39N	T85	4004720200		
WY	WY	R 60W	R 1E	RZE	T8S	
	WI	WY	S D	SD	n 3c	
				4004720193	S D	
				,		and a second of the second
T38N	T38N	T38N				
R62W	R61W	R 60W	T9S	T9S	T9S	TO
WY Projection: South Dakota State Plane South, NAD83 (fe	eet) WY	WY	R 1E	R 2E	R3E	R4
	T42N R62W WY T41N R62W WY T40N R62W WY T40N R62W WY	T42N T42N R62W R61W WY WY T41N T41N R62W R61W WY WY T41N T41N R62W R61W WY WY T40N R61W WY WY T40N R61W WY WY T40N R61W WY WY T39N T39N R62W R61W WY WY T39N T39N R62W R61W WY WY WY WY WY WY	T42N T42N T42N T42N R62W R61W R60W WY WY WY T41N T41N R61W T41N T41N T41N R62W R61W WY WY WY WY T41N T41N T41N R62W R61W R60W WY WY WY T40N T40N R60W WY B WY T39N R61W R60W WY WY WY T39N T39N R60W WY WY WY WY T38N T38N T38N R62W R61W R60W WY WY WY WY WY	T42N T42N T42N T42N R62W R61W R60V 755 WY WY WY SD T41N T41N T41N T65 T41N T41N T41N T65 WY WY WY SD T41N T41N T41N T65 R62W R61W R60W WY WY WY WY B WY B WY R60W WY B WY SD T40N T40N T40N R60W R61W R61W R60W R1E WY B WY SD T39N T39N T85 R62W R61W R60W R1E WY WY WY SD T38N T38N T95 R62W R61W R60W R1E T38N T38N T95 R62W <	T42N T42N T42N T42N R62W R6IW R60W R55 T55 WY WY WY SD SD T41N T41N R6IW R60W R1E R2E WY WY WY SD SD SD T41N T41N T41N R60W R1E R2E WY WY WY B0500150 R1E R2E SD WY WY WY B0500150 R1E R2E SD 5D 400370000 R60W WY WY WY B0500150 DWN0.1 400370000 R2E SD 400370000 R2E SD 5D 5D SD SD 5D SD 5D 5D 5D 5D SD 5D 5D </td <td>Тади Тади <t< td=""></t<></td>	Тади Тади <t< td=""></t<>





